Could biodiversity loss have increased Australia’s bushfire threat?
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Abstract

Ecosystem engineers directly or indirectly affect the availability of resources through changing the physical state of biotic and/or abiotic materials. Fossorial ecosystem engineers have been hypothesised as affecting fire behaviour through altering litter accumulation and
breakdown, however, little evidence of this has been shown to date. Fire is one of the major ecological processes affecting biodiversity globally. Australia has seen the extinction of 29 of 315 terrestrial mammal species in the last 200 years and several of these species were ecosystem engineers whose fossorial actions may increase the rate of leaf litter breakdown. Thus, their extinction may have altered the rate of litter accumulation and therefore fire ignition potential and rate of spread. We tested whether a reduction of leaf litter was associated with sites where mammalian ecosystem engineers had been reintroduced using a pair-wise, cross fence comparison at sites spanning the Australian continent. At Scotia (New South Wales), Karakamia (Western Australia) and Yookamurra (South Australia) Sanctuaries, leaf litter mass (-24%) and percentage cover of leaf litter (-3%) were significantly lower where reintroduced ecosystem engineers occurred compared to where they were absent, and fire behaviour modelling illustrated this has substantial impacts on flame height and rate of spread. This result has major implications for fire behaviour and management globally wherever ecosystem engineers are now absent as the reduced leaf litter volumes where they occur will lead to decreased flame height and rate of fire spread. This illustrates the need to restore the full suite of biodiversity globally.

Introduction

Ecosystem engineers directly or indirectly affect the availability of resources through changing the physical state of biotic or abiotic materials and, as such, they modify, maintain or create habitats either autogenically or allogenically (Jones, Lawton and Shachak, 1994). Beavers *Castor spp.* do this through their role in dam building, which affects geomorphology and ecology, and ultimately protects rare species (Bartel, Haddad and Wright, 2010). White rhinoceros *Ceratotherium simum* create grazing lawns that alter fire size and heterogeneity.

The services provided by ecosystem engineers is frequently specific to individual species (James *et al.*, 2011, Machicote, Branch and Villarreal, 2004) suggesting functional redundancy is rare. Consequently, the extinction of ecosystem engineers means that the ecological function they provide is unlikely to be replaced by surviving species. The plethora of studies on the functions performed by ecosystem engineers reflect their importance within ecosystems, however to date we know of no study that has illustrated the role fossorial mammalian ecosystem engineers play in regulating fire, despite this being hypothesised previously (Jones, Lawton and Shachak, 1996). In this study, we illustrate the role that fossorial ecosystem engineers play in leaf litter breakdown and how that translates to fire behaviour.

Uncontrolled wildfires cause enormous damage. For example, the total cost of 23 major wildfires in Australia between 1967 and 1999 was greater than $AUD2.5 billion with an additional human cost of 223 deaths and 4185 injuries (Australian Institute of Criminology, 2004). In the USA, the 1998 Florida wildfire produced economic impacts of at least US$600 million (Butry *et al.* 2001) and fire suppression in the USA now exceeds $US1 billion per annum (Calkin *et al.*, 2005). Despite improvements in communications and technology, massive wildfires are still a common event in Australia, and with climate change, increasingly so (Marris, 2016).
Wildfire is a major conservation and land management issue globally with 179 mammal, 262 bird, 146 reptile, 300 amphibian and 974 plant species threatened by fire and fire suppression (IUCN, 2015). Leaf litter is the major source of combustible material to allow fire to spread, especially in mallee eucalypt communities (Bradstock, 1990), and fossorial species have the potential to reduce litter fuel loads (Nugent, Leonard and Clarke, 2014). Australia has suffered the loss of 29 medium-sized, ground-dwelling mammal species (Johnson, 2006, Short and Smith, 1994, Woinarsi, Burbidge and Harrison, 2012), while numerous others are now restricted to offshore islands and so are extinct on the mainland (Burbidge, Williams and Abbott, 1997, McKenzie et al., 2007, Woinarsi et al., 2012). Hence, the loss of these species in Australia means there is a high likelihood of cascading impacts that extend to fire regimes.

Herbivory is well documented as affecting fire regimes by removing fuel on plants and that can fall as leaf litter (Ingram, Doran and Nader, 2013, Leonard, Kirkpatrick and Marsden-Smedley, 2010). Bioperturbating species, such as bower birds and lyrebirds, alter litter volume and distribution, and thereby reduce fire likelihood (Carvalho et al., 2011, Mikami et al., 2010, Nugent et al., 2014). Here, we aimed to determine whether the extinction of members of Australia’s critical weight range mammal fauna (Burbidge and McKenzie, 1989), has led to an increased accumulation of fuel that would potentially affect the rate of fire spread. This is timely given the directives of Australian state governments and Royal Commissions (Government of Victoria, 2011) regarding the area of control burns necessary to reduce the risk of life and property threatening bushfires, despite the findings that this would only reduce bushfire risk by half (Price and Bradstock, 2011). It was originally proposed that 5% of all Crown Estate in Victoria would be burnt annually on a 20 year rotation (Recommendation 56 of the 2009 Bushfires Royal Commission (Government of Victoria, 2011)), which is well below levels that would allow ‘old growth’ vegetation to form
and provide habitat for old growth dependent fauna (Clarke, 2008, Clarke et al., 2010, Kelly et al., 2011, Taylor et al., 2012) and would negatively impact biodiversity (Giljohann et al., 2015).

Methods

A pair-wise, fence-line comparison was replicated at three of the Australian Wildlife Conservancy’s (AWC) faunal restoration sites spanning the Australian continent: Karakamia (284 ha in Western Australia’s jarrah forest), Scotia (64,654 ha in far-western New South Wales) and Yookamurra (5108 ha in South Australia’s Murrayland region; Fig. 1). Karakamia receives 883 mm, Scotia 246 mm and Yookamurra 275 mm of rain per year (AWC unpubl. data). Scotia and Yookamurra are dominated by mallee eucalypt communities on linear dunes at Scotia and on thin soils overlaying calcrete at Yookamurra, while Karakamia supports jarrah forest. All sites have large fenced areas that exclude introduced predators (red foxes Vulpes vulpes and cats Felis catus) and competitors (European rabbits Oryctolagus cuniculus and livestock) and from where such species have been eradicated. Karakamia was fully-fenced in 1994, Scotia in 2002 and 2006 (two separate 4000 ha areas), and Yookamurra in 2007. There have been no domestic herbivores on the properties since acquisition by AWC and large grazing macropod numbers are controlled within the fenced areas.

The vegetation at Scotia is generally in better condition than surrounding national parks due to a shorter pastoral history (Westbrooke, 2012, Westbrooke, Miller and Kerr, 1998). Karakamia and Scotia are situated within a matrix of largely intact vegetation, so human-impacts on fire regimes are considered minimal (Archibald et al., 2010), in contrast to Yookamurra, which sits partially within an agricultural landscape. In semi-arid areas, rainfall
and soil moisture are limiting and limit litter decomposition rates, and the digging pits created by fossorial species are sources of higher humidity that promote litter breakdown, water infiltration and seed germination (Travers and Eldridge, 2012a, Travers and Eldridge, 2012b).

Six previously extinct species (bilby *Macrotis lagotis*, boodie *Bettongia penicillata*, bridled nailtail wallaby *Onychogalea fraenata*, greater stick-nest rat *Leporillus conditor*, numbat *Myrmecobius fasciatus* and woylie *B. penicillata*) have been reintroduced to Scotia (Finlayson, 2010, Hayward, Herman and Mulder, 2010a, Hayward *et al.*, 2010b), four to Karakamia (woylie, southern brown bandicoot *Isoodon obesulus*, tammar wallaby *Macropus eugenii* and western ringtail possum *Pseudocheirus occidentalis*) and four of these have also been reintroduced to Yookamurra (bilby, boodie, numbat and woylie). Most of these species are considered as ecosystem engineers and their turnover of soil and litter could be expected to increase the rate of leaf litter breakdown (Garkaklis, Bradley and Wooller, 2004, James and Eldridge, 2007, James, Eldridge and Hill, 2009, James *et al.*, 2011). There is no difference in the arboreal folivore communities inside and outside the fenced areas as the fences are permeable to them, so any differences in litter volumes are unlikely to be driven by browsing effects.

Paired samples were taken from one m to the north of eucalypt trees growing 30 m inside and 30 m outside the fences at each of 21 locations at Scotia and 20 locations at Yookamurra and Karakamia spaced one km apart. These paired sites had similar vegetation, topography, fire ages and the trees selected all exceeded 0.2 m diameter at breast height. Areas beneath canopies are the major sites of litter accumulation in the mallee (Eldridge *et al.*, 2012). Each sample consisted of leaf litter collected in a 22 x 22 cm quadrat. This material was then sieved through one mm sieves and air dried for a month. At Scotia, we also compared the number of animal digging pits and logs inside and outside the fences by
counting them 1 m either side of a 50 m long transect, while percentage cover of cryptogamic
crust cover, bare ground cover and vegetation cover were estimated visually by two
observers.

Paired differences in leaf litter between fenced and unfenced plots were analysed
using a linear mixed effect model in the lme4 package (Bates et al., 2013) in R (R Core
Development Team, 2008) with site as a random effect. As absolute leaf litter levels varied
strongly by site, relative change in levels in the unfenced plots was analysed. Ninety-five
percent (95%) confidence intervals for the relative differences were estimated using profile
likelihood. Linear regression models were used to determine whether there was a difference
in ground cover inside and outside the fences at Scotia. We also ran paired t-tests on
individual site data.

Finally, to assessed how changes in leaf litter caused by reintroduced mammals might
affect fire behaviour, we used mean fuel-load inputs from Scotia with conditions based on
those experienced during a wildfire in September 2012 to run the McArthur Mk5 Forest Fire
Behaviour model (Noble, Gill and Bary, 1980). This model is widely used by fire services
worldwide to predict the probability of fire starting, its rate of spread, intensity and
suppression difficulty according to data on temperature, humidity, wind and drought
conditions. On the day of the fire, maximum temperatures reached 37.5°C, relative humidity
was 28% and winds reached 57 km hr\(^{-1}\) (data from Bureau of Meteorology online). We ran
the models with drought conditions 5 and with a 0 ground slope following Nugent et al.
(2014) and present data on both flame height as a measure of fire intensity and severity
(Alexander and Cruz, 2012, Byram, 1959), and rate of spread. We present means ± 1 S.E.

**Results**
Overall, the linear mixed effect model estimated a statistically significant 24% decrease (95% CI 6-43%) in leaf litter mass in the fenced plots compared to the unfenced plots across sites. Scotia had significantly more leaf litter than Yookamurra and Karakamia (Fig. 2). The mass of leaf litter found inside the fences was significantly less at Karakamia (23 ± 2 g cf 41 ± 2 g; paired $t_{19} = -6.586, p < 0.001$), Scotia (155 ± 21 g cf 223 ± 35 g; paired $t_{20} = -2.158, p = 0.043$) and Yookamurra (24 ± 1 g cf 55 ± 3 g; paired $t_{19} = -2.158, p = 0.046$; Fig. 2).

The linear model showed there was no significant difference in percentage ground cover inside and outside fences at Scotia, however there were significant differences in ground cover types, as well as an interaction between fencing and cover type (Table 1). There was significantly less leaf litter cover inside Scotia’s fenced areas compared to outside (Wald $\chi^2 = 13.495$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.001$), but significantly more logs (Wald $\chi^2 = 37.432$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.001$) and pits (Wald $\chi^2 = 29.272$, d.f. = 1, $p < 0.001$) inside fenced areas (Fig. 3). Leaf litter covers only 3% less area inside fences, but is 37% less in volume (dry weight) compared to sites outside the fences.

The McArthur fire behaviour model predicted flame heights during the September 2011 fire at Scotia to reach 1.41 m outside the fences compared to 0.37 m inside the fences. This model also predicted the fire to spread faster outside the fences (0.18 km hr$^{-1}$) compared to 0.12 km hr$^{-1}$ inside the fences. This equates to a 74% reduction in flame height and a 33% reduction in the rate of fire spread.

**Discussion**

This study highlights the benefits of reintroducing ecosystem engineers for the services they offer to fire management that have been lost from the majority of Australia’s
environment. These species probably play similar roles globally given the widespread
distribution of fossorial species and the ubiquitous role that turning litter plays in speeding its
breakdown. Such reintroductions may reduce the need for fire suppression and control in
numerous fire-prone environments, which are costly and dangerous practices. The fossorial
nature of the reintroduced marsupials has increased the rate of leaf litter breakdown
compared to introduced fossorial species as native species dig deeper and wider pits than
introduced rabbits *Oryctolagus cuniculus* due to the larger amount of litter and soil they turn
over (Eldridge *et al.*, 2012, James and Eldridge, 2007, Pollock, 2006). This in turn increases
the return of nutrients into the soil (Eldridge *et al.*, 2012, Elliot, Hunt and Walker, 1988).
However, the reduction in available leaf litter also reduces fire spread as leaf litter is the
biggest factor driving this (Bradstock, 1990). With reduced leaf litter, the risk of fire ignition
is also reduced. Ultimately, a reduction in fire frequency is likely to slow the rate of carbon
released into the atmosphere compared to current rates, because of the more rapid and
complete release of carbon during fire than in the slow carbon pool driven by litter
breakdown (Bond-Lamberty *et al.*, 2007).

This is a global issue given reviews show that 447 mammalian genera spanning the
globe have fossorial species that may significantly disturb the soil and leaf litter (Kinlaw,
1999) and many of these are likely to be threatened or locally extinct. Some taxa obviously
turn over litter to increase decomposition rates. For example, Philippine porcupines *Hystrix
pumila* are listed as vulnerable (IUCN, 2015) and, as fossorial rodents, are likely to affect
litter decomposition (Bragg, Donaldson and Ryan, 2005). The rooting of suids clearly
increases the rate of decomposition (Sandom, Hughes and Macdonald, 2013) and several of
these are threatened including the Palawan bearded pig *Sus ahoenobarbus*, bearded pig *S.
barbatus*, Visayan warty pig *S. cebifrons*, Oliver’s warty pig *S. oliveri*, Philippine warty pig
*S. philippensis* and the Javan warty pig *S. verrucosus* (IUCN, 2015). Fire is a major
environmental problem in the range states of many of the species discussed above (Page et al., 2002).

A wildfire at Scotia provided additional support for the hypothesised ecosystem services provided by fossorial reintroduced fauna on fire behaviour. The fire burnt out rapidly where reintroduced ecosystem engineers were present, but continued to burn for several hours where they were absent (for further details see Appendix). While the relationship between leaf litter and bushfire is complex and each of our study sites is likely to respond differently to fire, we believe this anecdote illustrates the impact of the altered leaf litter cover and volume on fire behaviour.

It is important to point out the limitations of this study. There are potential differences between the inside and outside of the fences beyond the presence of ecosystem engineers including the presence of introduced herbivores (rabbits and goats \textit{Capra hircus}), potential local rainfall variation and the reintroduced species within the fences may be at artificially high densities in the absence of dingoes \textit{Canis lupus} and this may enhance the fuel differences. Future studies should investigate how the change in leaf litter cover and volumes that we found affects fire behaviour in the field. Nugent \textit{et al.} (2014) did this using a chronosequence approach with superb lyrebirds \textit{Menura novaehollandiae} and was able to model the impact on fire behaviour. Given the intensive fire management implemented by AWC at fenced reintroduction sites, there is scope to investigate this experimentally.

Furthermore, the relationship between litter breakdown and the number of diggings is also worth investigating.

The broad-scale declines and localised extinctions of Australia’s marsupial ecosystem engineers (Woinarski \textit{et al.}, 2012) are likely to have impacted a vast array of ecological features, including fire regimes. Altered fire regimes are a threat to numerous species of
biodiversity, and in New South Wales alone this includes 14 endangered ecological communities, 39 threatened plant species, four birds and ten mammals (NSW Scientific Committee, 2012), highlighting that the loss of functionally unique species undermines entire ecosystems (O'Gorman et al., 2011). Yet the most fire-prone forested environments of eastern mainland Australia are bereft of numerous species of critical weight range mammals and ecosystem engineers (e.g. Tasmanian bettongs *Bettongia gaimardi*, eastern barred bandicoots *Perameles gunni*, potoroos *Potorous* spp., etc). Their value in reducing the impact and spread of fires may be further evidence of the need to restore them to the environment. Tasmania retains an intact herbivore fauna, but still experiences devastating fires suggesting forest type may interact with ecosystem engineers to affect leaf litter breakdown or fire behaviour, and that, even in the presence of these fossorial species, extensive wildfires will still occur in Australia (albeit at a lesser frequency).

There have been questions about the efficacy of control burning in reducing bushfire risk (Bradstock, 2003, Brewer and Rogers, 2006, Pinol, Beven and Viegas, 2005). Fuel reduction burns theoretically reduce fuel loads and make fire suppression more feasible (Cheney, 1994), however post-fire leaf fall rapidly replenishes this source of fuel (Travers and Eldridge, 2012b). Also other factors, such as ambient weather and recent rainfall, affect fire behaviour (Price and Bradstock, 2011). This is the first study that identifies the potential fire suppressive effect of native mammalian fauna via the increased breakdown of leaf litter to reduce fuel loads. This is a fascinating issue as the decline of critical weight range fauna in Australia has been linked to altered fire regimes (Carwardine *et al.*, 2011, Fitzsimons *et al.*, 2010, Woinarski *et al.*, 2010), however there may be a feedback loop relationship occurring with native fauna reducing fuel loads and thereby reducing their risk of increased predation following fire (McGregor *et al.*, 2014).
While this study focuses on the benefits of the restoration of Australian fossorial species, it has direct relevance to wildlife restoration and fire management globally. Throughout the world, mammals are declining and fossorial ecosystem engineers are no exception (Davidson, Detling and Brown, 2012). Thus, this study provides more evidence of the value of conserving these species and restoring them to sites where they have been extirpated, to avert the functional homogenisation of the planet (Clavel, Julliard and Devictor, 2010). Furthermore, restoring ecosystem engineers is a practice that reduces fuel loads while maintaining the integrity of the soil, and thereby yields cascading benefits to local ecosystems (Dombeck, Williams and Wood, 2004).
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Table 1. Generalised linear model results of the percentage ground cover at Scotia. Wald post-hoc tests revealed no effect of fencing on the area covered by any of the ground cover types at Scotia (bare earth, cryptogamic crust, leaf litter, logs, animal digging pits or vegetation).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Wald $\chi^2$</th>
<th>d.f.</th>
<th>Probability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>745.975</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fenced/unfenced</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground cover type</td>
<td>326.629</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interaction</td>
<td>344.594</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures

Fig. 1. Location map of the Australian Wildlife Conservancy’s sanctuaries showing Karakamia, Scotia and Yookamurra.

Fig. 2. Mean (± 2 S.E.) mass of leaf litter inside and outside fences at the Australian Wildlife Conservancy’s Karakamia, Scotia and Yookamurra Sanctuaries.

Fig. 3. Mean (± 2 S.E.) percentage ground cover of bare earth, cryptogamic crust, leaf litter, logs, animal digging pits and vegetation inside and outside fences at the Australian Wildlife Conservancy’s Scotia Sanctuary. Significant differences based on Wald’s $\chi^2$ test are shown with asterisks (***) denoting significance at $p < 0.001$.)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Inside</th>
<th>Outside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Karakamia</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotia</td>
<td>~250</td>
<td>~200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yookamurra</td>
<td>~50</td>
<td>~60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 3.
Appendix

A wildfire started from an overnight lightning strike in the north-western corner of Scotia in September 2012 and burnt slowly to the south from when it was detected at 0830 am until approximately 1300, when a strong wind change pushed it to the east (Fig. A1). The fire crossed several potential fire breaks including vehicle tracks, an 8m cleared area along the fence line protecting the reintroduced fauna, and fire breaks created earlier in 2011 and in 2010, to enter the fenced area around 1730. By 1900 hrs, the fire inside the fenced area where reintroduced fauna occurred, had reached the extent shown in Fig. S1, however despite weather conditions remaining fairly constant (and temperatures exceeding 30°C) it did not progress further, while the fire continued to burn out the finger to the south for the rest of the night (Fig. S1). Water was hosed on flames inside the fenced area where the fire was in reach of vehicle tracks, however it is clear that large areas of long unburnt vegetation contiguous to the fire and away from tracks did not burn (Fig. S1). Cool conditions arrived around 0500hrs and the fire risk was largely alleviated via minor mopping up operations. We hypothesise that the rapid cessation of fire in the fenced area was due to the reduced leaf litter caused by its rapid breakdown by the actions of the ecosystem engineers that have been reintroduced there. Whether this difference in fire behaviour was due to the reduced litter volumes inside the fences or the reduced connectivity due to the lower percentage cover of leaf litter (or both) is unknown. While the relationship between leaf litter and bushfire is complex and each of our study sites is likely to respond differently to fire, we believe this anecdote illustrates the impact of the altered leaf litter cover and volume on fire behaviour.
Fig. A1. Fire scar from the September 2012 wildfire at Scotia. The dark red polygon is the boundary of the 2012 fire, while earlier fires are also shown (1985/6 in lime green; 1995-6 in bright green; 2010/11 controlled burn in pale green along the Stage 1/2 fenced boundary where native fossorial mammals have been reintroduced in 2002 and 2006 respectively). Uncoloured areas have not been burnt for over 40 years. The 2012 wildfire started from a lightning strike during a typical dry thunderstorm in the north-western corner of the fire scar. Topography is shown in greyscale with darker shades depicting higher elevations.